

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/808,748 03/25/2004		Louis Tandle	1048 023 301 0202	5875		
37211	7590 09/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BASCH & NICKERSON LLP 1777 PENFIELD ROAD		SELLS, Ja	SELLS, JAMES D			
PENFIELD, NY 14526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			1734			
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2009	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s)	1							
ANDLE ET AL.								
Art Unit								
734								
respondence address	Ì							
OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,								
filed								
mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133). ay reduce any								
ecution as to the merits is O.G. 213.								
·								
aminer. 7 CFR 1.85(a).								
ted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
ction or form PTO-152.								
i) or (f).								
·								
No								
in this National Stage								

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/808	748	TANDLE ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		James S	Sells	1734				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addr	ess			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF a s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this command the comment of the comm				
Status	•				•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 July 2005</i> .						
′=	•	2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance exce	pt for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8,9,11-17 and 19-2</u>	25 is/are pending in	the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>17,19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8,9,11-16 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or l	o) objected to t	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s	) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Information	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							



#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-9, 11-16 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al (US Patent 5,725,140) in view of 3M Technical Bulletin (Prevention of Pressure Sensitive Adhesive Cover Tape Jamming...).

Weber discloses a tape feeder apparatus and method for its use. As shown in Figs. 1-2, the tape feeder 10 comprises feed reel 12 on which carrier tape 13 is reeled, peel blade 34 for peeling cover tape 21, guides 22, 30 and 32 and sprocket wheel 50 for intermittently advancing or driving the tape in the manner claimed by the applicant (see col. 4, lines 23-63).

However, Weber does not disclose the folding pulley as claimed by the applicant.

Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of 3M.

3M discloses a system for preventing pressure sensitive adhesive cover tape from jamming in feeders. As shown in Figs. 2-8, the system employs a plurality of folding rollers or pulleys, which longitudinally fold the edges of the tape in the manner claimed by the applicant. This system prevents balling up of the cover tape as shown in Fig. 1. In the duel roller assembly shown in Figs. 6-7, the system employs a two-wheel design in which the first wheel performs the folding of both edges at the same time. As

shown in Fig. 5c, the folding roller may have inwardly inclined flanges to facilitate folding of the adhesive edge.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a folding system, as taught by 3M, in the device of Weber, in order to prevent balling up or jamming of the adhesive cover tape. Further, it is the examiner's position that the drive and control systems claimed by the applicant are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the system of Weber in view of 3M described above in order to facilitate feeding of the materials.

Regarding claim 1, applicant has employed the term "comprising". Although applicant's claimed "single folding pulley" is given patentable weight by the examiner, applicant's comprising language does not preclude additional folding pulleys as disclosed by 3M. Thus the examiner believes the above combination of Weber in view of 3M teaches applicant's claimed invention.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed July 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the references do not disclose a single folding pulley as claimed by the applicant. The examiner does not agree. As stated above, applicant has employed the term "comprising". Although applicant's claimed "single folding pulley" is given patentable weight by the examiner, applicant's comprising language does not preclude additional folding pulleys as disclosed by 3M. Thus the examiner believes the

Application/Control Number: 10/808,748 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

above combination of Weber in view of 3M teaches applicant's claimed invention.

Therefore applicant's argument is believed to be incorrect in this instance.

Applicant's affidavit regarding the Hoover-Davis Operations Guide is persuasive and the reference is hereby withdrawn by the examiner.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 17 and 19-20 are allowed.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In a method for handling cover tape detached from pocket tape comprising folding the longitudinal edges of the cover tape inward to enclose within folded confines of the tape any residual adhesive, wherein folding the longitudinal edges inward increases the stiffness of the cover tape and monitoring the quantity of cover tape contained in the cover tape reservoir, the prior art does not teach or make obvious the concept of corrugating the folded tape as it is pushed into a cover tape reservoir in the manner claimed by the applicant.

## Telephone/Fax

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/808,748 Page 5

Art Unit: 1734

1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and

6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

TECH. CENTER 1700